### MEDICAL FEE DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

### **GENERAL INFORMATION**

**Requestor Name** 

Methodist Hospital for Surgery

**MFDR Tracking Number** 

M4-16-3207-01

**MFDR Date Received** 

June 20, 2016

**Respondent Name** 

American Casualty Co of Reading

**Carrier's Austin Representative** 

**Box Number 47** 

### **REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY**

**Requestor's Position Summary:** "Methodist Hospital for Surgery's position is that it was underpaid by \$21,244.73 for the services it provided to patient (claimant) during the service period of December 21-23, 2015."

**Amount in Dispute:** \$21,244.73

# **RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY**

Respondent's Position Summary: The Division placed a copy of an acknowledgement of receipt of the medical fee dispute resolution on June 29, 2016. Texas Administrative Code §133.307 (d) (1) states, "Responses to a request for MFDR shall be legible and submitted to the division and to the requestor in the form and manner prescribed by the division. (1) Timeliness. The response will be deemed timely if received by the division via mail service, personal delivery, or facsimile within 14 calendar days after the date the respondent received the copy of the requestor's dispute. If the division does not receive the response information within 14 calendar days of the dispute notification, then the division may base its decision on the available information." As no response was received this dispute will be reviewed based on available information.

#### SUMMARY OF FINDINGS

Dates of Service	Disputed Services	Amount In Dispute	Amount Due
December 21 – 23, 2015	Inpatient Hospital Services	\$21,244.73	\$0.00

## **FINDINGS AND DECISION**

This medical fee dispute is decided pursuant to Texas Labor Code §413.031 and applicable rules of the Texas Department of Insurance, Division of Workers' Compensation.

### **Background**

- 1. 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307 sets out the procedures for resolving medical fee disputes.
- 2. 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404 sets out the acute care hospital fee guideline for inpatient services.

- 3. The insurance carrier reduced payment for the disputed services with the following claim adjustment codes:
  - P12 Workers compensation jurisdictional fee schedule adjustment
  - 193 Original payment decision is being maintained. Upon review, it was determined that this claim was processed properly
  - W3

### <u>Issues</u>

- 1. Is the requestor's position statement supported?
- 2. What is the applicable rule for determining reimbursement of the disputed services?
- 3. What is the recommended payment for the services in dispute?
- 4. Is the requestor entitled to additional reimbursement?

### **Findings**

1. In their position statement the requestor states, "By way of explanation, the Hospital calculated the rate of payment according to the Texas Workers' Compensation Fee Schedule as follows: Allowed amount for DRG 473 (\$13,063.80x 108% = \$14,108.91) + Implants (cost of \$23,948.00 + 10% not to exceed \$2,000 = \$25,948.00) = \$40,056.91." 28 Texas Administrative Code \$134.404(f)(1), states in pertinent part,

The sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 143 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables.

Review of the submitted medical claim finds no separate request for implantables was made.

28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(g)(1), states in pertinent part,

A facility or surgical implant provided billing separately for an implantable shall include with the billing a certification that the amount billed represents the actual costs (net amount, exclusive or rebates and discounts) for the implantable. The certification shall include the following sentence: "I hereby certify under penalty of law that the following is the true and correct actual cost to the best of my knowledge."

Review of the submitted documentation found insufficient evidence to support the requestor made a request for separate payment of the implantables and the above certification was not found within submitted documentation. The requestor's position is not supported.

- 2. This dispute regards the facility medical services of an inpatient acute care hospital with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Code 28 Texas Administrative Code §134.404(f), which requires that the reimbursement calculation used for establishing the maximum allowable reimbursement (MAR) shall be the Medicare facility specific amount, including outlier payment amounts, determined by applying the most recently adopted and effective Medicare Inpatient Prospective Payment System (IPPS) reimbursement formula and factors as published annually in the Federal Register with the application of minimal modifications as set forth in the rule.
  - Per  $\S134.404(f)(1)$ , the sum of the Medicare facility specific reimbursement amount and any applicable outlier payment amount shall be multiplied by 143 percent, unless a facility or surgical implant provider requests separate reimbursement of implantables. Review of the submitted documentation finds that separate reimbursement for implantables was not requested; for that reason, the MAR is calculated according to  $\S134.404(f)(1)(A)$ .
- 3. Per §134.404(f)(1)(A), the sum of the Medicare facility specific amount, including any outlier payment, is multiplied by 143%. Information regarding the calculation of Medicare IPPS payment rates may be found at <a href="http://www.cms.gov">http://www.cms.gov</a>. Review of the submitted documentation finds that the DRG code assigned to the services in dispute is 473. The services were provided at Methodist Hospital for Surgery. Based on the submitted DRG code, the service location, and bill-specific information, the Medicare facility specific amount is \$13,061.83. This amount multiplied by 143% results in a MAR of \$18,678.42.

**4.** The total recommended payment for the services in dispute is \$18,678.42. The insurance carrier has paid \$18,812.18. The amount due to the requestor is \$0.00

# **Conclusion**

For the reasons stated above, the Division finds that the requestor has not established that additional reimbursement is due. As a result, the amount ordered is \$0.00.

#### **ORDER**

Based on the documentation submitted by the parties and in accordance with the provisions of Texas Labor Code §413.031, the Division has determined that the requestor is entitled to \$0.00 additional reimbursement for the services in dispute.

<u>Authorized Signature</u>		
		August , 2016
Signature	Medical Fee Dispute Resolution Officer	Date

# YOUR RIGHT TO APPEAL

Either party to this medical fee dispute has a right to seek review of this decision in accordance with 28 Texas Administrative Code §133.307, effective May 31, 2012, *37 Texas Register 3833*, applicable to disputes filed on or after June 1, 2012.

A party seeking review must submit a **Request to Schedule a Benefit Review Conference to Appeal a Medical Fee Dispute Decision** (form **DWC045M**) in accordance with the instructions on the form. The request must be received by the Division within **twenty** days of your receipt of this decision. The request may be faxed, mailed or personally delivered to the Division using the contact information listed on the form or to the field office handling the claim.

The party seeking review of the MFDR decision shall deliver a copy of the request to all other parties involved in the dispute at the same time the request is filed with the Division. **Please include a copy of the** *Medical Fee* **Dispute Resolution Findings and Decision** together with any other required information specified in 28 Texas Administrative Code §141.1(d).

Si prefiere hablar con una persona en español acerca de ésta correspondencia, favor de llamar a 512-804-4812.